

Transcriptional and proteomic insights into the immunomodulatory nature of **SUPLEXA cells: An autologous cellular therapy for cancers**

¹J Pulford, ¹E Murzin, ¹D Younger, ²R Joshi, ^{3,4}JC Goh, ³G Kichenadasse, ⁵SE Gargosky, ⁵F Borriello, and ^{1,5}J Lederer ¹Brigham and Women's Hospital/Harvard Medical School, ²Cancer Research Foundation, ⁴Queensland University of Technology, ⁴University of Queensland, ⁵Alloplex Biotherapeutics, Inc., Woburn, MA

Background

SUPLEXA cells are PBMC-derived activated white blood cells, com predominantly of lymphocytes, notably devoid of B cells, myeloid, cells Tregs. SUPLEXA cells are non-engineered, autologous immunothera cells that are differentiated by an in vitro "training" process mediat engineered tumor cells called ENLIST cells that express an arr immunomodulatory adjuvants that convert PBMCs into SUPLEXA cells.



SUPLEXA cells are an individualized population of immunotherapeutic with the following 4 basic immune properties:

- Migratory Express chemokine receptors and adhesion molecules. 2) Cytolytic – Express high levels of granzymes and performs.
- 3) Antigen Presenting cells Express high MHC class II and CD86.
- 4) Immunomodulatory Modulate peripheral myeloid cell populations

Study Aims

Specific Aim 1: To profile SUPLEXA cells generated from our Phase 1 trial using CyTOF, RNAseq, and Nanostring technologies.

Specific Aim 2: To perform longitudinal pharmacologic analysis of blood samples from SUPLEXA treated patients. Immune cell subsets in PBMC analyzed by CyTOF and plasma analytes using Luminex and Olink.

Methods

SUPLEXA and PBMC Mass Cytometry (CyTOF) Analysis. SUPLEXA from patients were analyzed with a custom 48-marker CyTOF ant panel. Cryopreserved PBMCs from SUPLEXA treated patients were st with two 48-marker CyTOF panels with myeloid or T cell focus. CyTOF was analyzed by R and OMIQ workflows to deeply profile SUP phenotypes and longitudinal phenotypic changes in PBMCs from patient SUPLEXA Transcriptional Profiling. RNA was prepared from a subs SUPLEXA cells or PBMCs and subjected to Next Generation sequencing at the MBCF core at the DFCI. RNA was also analyze Nanostring technology for further validation of transcriptional profiles. was analyzed by Biojupies and STRING platforms to identify significant gene transcriptional profiles and networks in therapeutic SUPLEXA cells Plasma Cytokine and Biomarker Discovery by Luminex/Olink. Lur assays for 40 different cytokines were performed on longitudinal pa samples. The Olink Discovery Panel (3,072 proteins) was used on a su of patient plasma samples at baseline, 1, 2 weeks post treatment

plasma biomarker discovery approach SUPLEXA treatment.

Phase 1 Clinical Trial Design and Outcomes

priced	Clinical Eindi	ingo. This poster reports a		Detient D			
ipiisea	35 patients with histologically or cytologically confirmed				ratient PBINICS analyzed by CyTOF		
is, anu	measurable	solid tumors radiograph	nically confirmed	Patient #	Cancer Type	Clinical Benefit	
	metastatic	solid tumors, radiograph	ically commed	111	CRC-MSI-H	Yes	
ted by	who had ever	Therapeutic Res	ponses N (%)	11/	CRC-MSI-H	Yes	
ray of	standard optic	Overall Response Ra	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	200		res	
•		ibjects Partial Response (PR	(CR) = 1(3.1)	209		No	
	received a mi	nimum Stable Disease (SD)	19 (59.4)	110		Yes	
m	of 3 weekly d	ose of Progressive Disease	(PD) 10 (31.3)	113		Yes	
		Clinical Response	25 (71.4)	118	ccRCC	Yes	
ory	SUFLEAR	No Clinical Response	10 (31.3)	122	ccRCC	Yes	
	approx. 2.5	Dimon — discretion of	the investigator	120	ccRCC	No	
NLIST		se. At the discretion of	the investigator,	112	Melanoma	Yes	
	sponsor med	and SUDLEXA infusions w	eement with the	215	Melanoma	Yes	
	subject, additi		vere administered	301	Melanoma	Yes	
	when availabl	e. Response was assess	ed by imaging on	115	Lung	Yes	
	an 8-12 week	schedule.		114	Breast	Yes	
	efficacy update of SUPLEXA-101, a First-in-Human, Single-Agent Study of SUPLEXA Therapeutic Cells in Metastatic Solid Tumors. SUPLEXA Cell Phenotyping By CyTOF						
				Τι	Imor Cell Cytol	ytic Function	
c cells	Subset Perce	entages Among All 35 Clinica	I SUPLEXA Samples	Granzyme	B 167Er	Granulysin 141Pr	
				AS S		GNLY	
	<u>0 80-</u>			c ⁱ			
		•		de un	Jewn		
					10'		
S.	မိ ₄₀ –		•	ur	map_1	umap_1	
				Ant	igen Presenting	g Cell Function	
	[≈] 20-			HLA-DF		CD86 147Sm	
clinical d C were	0 1 NK Cells	CD8T Cells DNT Cells CDAT Cells	vor cells	r ^d em 2	map_1	CD86	
	SUPLEXA Cell Transcriptional Profiles						
	STRING Network Analysis of Top 50 Genes						
	I op 20 Significant GenesGene SymbollogFCIFNG5.62		CD79B		-		
	GZMA 4.94 NUP214 -2.79	Next Gen Bulk RNAseq			EC3H	NUP214	
tibody	APOBEC3H 4.03 NCALD 3.18 PSAP -3.79	Among the top 20 genes	Cell Killing 🦷	LAG3	MSC CHKN2	Cell Proliferat	
tained	AC092580.4 5.84 CEBPB -4.00	~ 2 -Fold in SLIPLEXA			CEBPB		
⁻ data	SAT1 -4.08 OPTN 2.67	cells relative to starting	arsw 12	RE1 CIAN		TOP2A CDK1	
PLEXA	OBE2C 7.29 TYMS 6.43 CD79B -2.81	PBMC. IFN- v and) III III III III III III III III III I				
its.	CTSS -4.71 TOP2A 6.14	granzyme A are the			LTMH UBEZU	TPX2	
set of	PTPN7 2.71 LTA4H -3.30 FOXM1 5.81	most significant.		criss	RAB33A	gr/se1	
	CDKN2A 4.95 JAKMIP1 3.71		ASAHI	F130	OPTPN7 SCPEP1	IRF2BP2	
ed hv	Top 20 Significant Genes	Dana	in Protesses	SAT1		NCALD	
Data	Gene SymbollogFCCCNB24.69	Гара		SLC38A5		\bigcirc	
	TOP2A 4.63 GTSE1 2.93		NUMB BI	iological Pro	ocesses Enrich	ment False Discover	
yene	CDCA8 3.17 TPX2 3.12		Positive regulation of cell killing			- 9.5e-06 - 3.3e-05	
5 .	ELOVL6 2.55 GZMA 2.23	Nanostring Technology	Immune system process			- 1.1e-04 - 3.9e-04	
minex	MKI67 3.15 CCNA2 3.76	Among the top 20 genes	Immune response Regulation of natural killer cell		-0	0 - 1.3e-03 	
atient	CEINPA 2.48 KIF20A 2.82 TVMS 2.67	up-regulated by >2-Fold	Leukocyte mediated cvtotoxicity			Gene count	
subset	CDC20 3.97 CDC45 3.20	in SUPLEXA cells	Adaptiv e immune response	_0		dnoug = 5 10	
as a	HJURP 2.58 KIF2C 2.72	relative to starting	Cell death	-0		15	
	GZMB 1.82 NCAPH 2.34	PBIVIC, are granzyme A	Negative regulation of macromolecule metabolic process			25	
	IFNG 2.23	and B as well as IFN- γ.	0.3	0.4 0.5	0.6 0.7	0.8	











Note: Patient samples demonstrated individualized patterns indicative of the inherent interpatient biologic heterogeneity. These results highlight emerging data to support predictive biomarkers.



Summary and Conclusions

- SUPLEXA cells show individualized cell subset profiles with common acquisition of tumor cytolytic and antigen presenting cell phenotypes
- o Transcriptome analysis shows that cell killing, proliferation, and papain **proteases** are hub gene networks that are altered in SUPLEXA cells Baseline immune cell characteristics show striking differences with higher levels
- of NK cells and lower levels of MDSCs in patients showing clinical benefit.
- increases in activated classical monocytes (SIGLEC-1+).
- Analysis of longitudinal plasma samples reveal modulation of cytokines that impact inflammatory cytokine (**IL-6**) and hematopoietic factor (**cKit**) networks.

Longitudinal CyTOF analysis PBMCs demonstrates pharmacodynamic